# Program Review 2011 Evaluation Form

# **Program Information**

Program Name *i.e. Anthropology	Physics/General Science	_
College /School Name *i.e. CLA	CNSM	
Degree *Please choose one from the list.	(Cert., AAS, BA, BS, MA, MS etc.)	_MS

# PART I - To be completed by the Faculty Program Review Committee

### 1. Quality and Assessment of the Program

outcomes. Outcomes should be comprehensive, and indications of achievement should involve multiple measures and satisfy the properties of good evidence.

# 2. Demand for Program Services

Demand for program services, as indicated by measures such as: credit hour production appropriate to the program's mission, services performed by the program in support of other programs, graduates produced, the prospective market for graduates, expressed need by clientele in the service area, documented needs of the state and/or nation for specific

Committee's assessment and guidance on Program Duplication:

UAF seems to offer the only graduate program in the state.

#### 5. Centrality of the Program

Centrality of the program to the mission, needs and purposes of the university and the unit.

Committee's assessment and guidance on Centrality of the Program:

No information specific to the program. The department contributes significantly to the spacegrant mission of UAF as well as to the overall science Core contribution.

#### 6. Timeliness

Timeliness of an action to augment, reduce or discontinue the program. [Address current internal or external factors that provide an opportunity for change, i.e. availability of new grant funding, increasing employment opportunities of graduates, or the departure of a significant portion of the faculty.]

Committee's assessment and guidance on Timeliness:

The department lists concerns regarding faculty retirement and replacement. The low number of students in this degree program does not support this statement. No information regarding the plans of the department to increase/decrease student enrollment in the MS program.

Cost of the program relative to the cost of comparable programs or to revenue produced (BOR policy). Because we are not currently able to provide program specific budget information or the cost of comparable programs, assessment will be based on propo Tw 0.283(r)-6( 6oy)[(at)-7(e)11( c)9 11.04 72

Comments (majority/minority statements welcome):